

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patient and Tradetark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Accounts, Vegres 22013-1459 www.nespe.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,265		09/19/2003	Thomas J. Hartle	125855-2	6052
23413	7550	01/28/2004		EXAM	DER .
CANTOR 55 GRIFFIN				CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ARTINIT I SUSTEEN	

DATE MAILED, 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,265	HARTLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William K Cheung	1713
- The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	rication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN Exercision of term may be available under the provision after SOLGH MCNITHS from the entirely date of this com- after SOLGH MCNITHS from the entirely date of this com- after SOLGH MCNITHS from the entirely date of this com- after SOLGH MCNITHS from the entirely date. If NO peered for may is spocified above, the number of Faiture to regly within this said or entered peered for mayle Apricely secondary by the Office the from three months or mained patient form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b). STatius	ICATION, of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a numination. (b) days, o roply within the stetutory minimum of this abstory portion will apply and will expire SDX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely, VTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 September 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL.	b) This action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi 	for allowance except for formal mat ce under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
 Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. 		
 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abevar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority of 	documents have been received.	
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received in A	pplication No
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation 	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified corine not	recobout
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. If in the first sentence of the specifical	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application ation or in an Application Data Sheet.
 a) The translation of the foreign language 	guage provisional application has be	en received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to reference was included in the first sent	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. ence of the specification or in an Ap	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific plication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
ttachment(e)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	(O-948) 5) Notice of to	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the Invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-20, 28-34, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1).

The invention of claims 1-20, 28-34, 37 relates to an under hood component comprising a poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin blend, a reinforced poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin blend or a combination of the foregoing.

Adedeji et al. (abstract, page 10-12, claims 1-36) disclose a blend composition that is substantially identical to the under hood component composition being claimed. Since Adedeji et al. (page 7, paragraph 82) disclose that the composition are suitable for under hood component application, it would not be difficult for one of ordinary skill in art to obtain the invention of claims 1-20, 28-34, 37 after reading the disclosure of Adedeji et al. The invention of claims 1-20, 28-34, 37 is anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A paient may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this labe, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior and are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art on which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere* Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) in view of Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0128368 A1).

The invention of claims 24-27 relates to an under hood component comprising a poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin blend, a reinforced poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin Application/Control Number: 10/667.265

Art Unit: 1713

blend or a combination of the foregoing, wherein the blend comprises reinforcing filler

Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) (abstract, page 10-12, claims 1-36) disclose a blend composition that is substantially identical to the under hood component composition being claimed. Adedeji et al. (page 7, paragraph 82) further disclose that the composition are suitable for under hood component application.

The difference between the invention claims 24-27 and Adedeji et al. is that Adedeji et al. are silent on an undercomponent comprising reinforcing filler.

Adedeji (US 2002/0128368 A1) (abstract) discloses using glass fibers in a composition that is substantially identical to the composition of Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1). Therefore, motivated by the expectation of obtaining material with inproved stiffness, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to use the reinforcing filler teachings in Adedeji (US 2002/0128368 A1) in Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) to obtain the invention of claims 24-27.

5. Claims 21-23, 35, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) in view of Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0165317 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,265 Art Unit: 1713

The invention of claims 21-23, 35, 36 relates to an under hood component comprising a poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin blend, a reinforced poly(arylene ether)/polyolefin blend or a combination of the foregoing, wherein the blend further comprising a polyolefin-graft-cyclic anhydride copolymer.

Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) (abstract, page 10-12, claims 1-36) disclose a blend composition that is substantially identical to the under hood component composition being claimed. Adedeji et al. (page 7, paragraph 82) further disclose that the composition are suitable for under hood component application.

The difference between the invention daims 21-23, 35, 36 and Adedeji et al. is that Adedeji et al. are silent on an undercomponent comprising comprising a polyolefingraft-cyclic anhydride copolymer.

Addedji (US 2002/0165317 A1) (abstract) discloses using a polyolefin-graft-cyclic anhydride copolymer, and a reinforcing filler in a composition that is substantially identical to the composition of Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1). Therefore, motivated by the expectation of obtaining material with inproved stiffness, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to use the reinforcing filler teachings in Adedeji (US 2002/0165317 A1A1) in Adedeji et al. (US 2002/0137840 A1) to obtain the invention of claims 21-23. 35. 36. Regarding daim 36 which claims "a radiator end cap", the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the claimed "a radiator end cap" is inherently possessed in Adedeij (US 2002/0165317 A1) in view that there are not too many end use application that is categorized into the "under hood applications" as affirmed in applicants' specification (page 3, paragraph 0008). One of ordinary skill in art would not have any difficulty obtain the invention of claim 36 after reading the disclosure of Adedei (US 2002/0165317 A1).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number (671) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM;
 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5885. Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1300.

William K. Cheung

Patent Examiner

January 18, 2004